

**From:** [Kelloway,C](#)  
**To:** [O'Connor,D](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Greetings from the Presidential office of Taiwan  
**Date:** 24 June 2019 09:36:38  
**Attachments:** [image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image005.png](#)  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

---

---

**From:** Kelloway,C  
**Sent:** 20 June 2019 16:10  
**To:** Wilson,Clive; Cerny,MW; O'Connor,D; Graham1,N  
**Cc:** Metcalfe,F  
**Subject:** RE: Greetings from the Presidential office of Taiwan  
**Sensitivity:** Confidential

Hi Clive,

Thanks for this. Yes, we could have a follow up statement to catch any further questions. I think it's still worth sending the standard reply we already have in the first instance.

How does the below look?

Ing-Wen Tsai enrolled at LSE in October 1980 and submitted her thesis in June 1983. She was awarded her PhD in February 1984.

Three copies of the thesis were submitted. One to her supervisor ([Michael Elliott](#)), one to the external examiner who left his copy with Mr Elliott and one to the University of London Research Degrees Examination Unit. This third copy was catalogued which is why the thesis appears on both the British Library Ethos catalogue and the ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database.

Senate House Library at the University of London confirmed they sent their copy to the Institute of Advanced Legal Studies but that copy cannot be found at present.

Just to clarify – did Senate House send their copy to IALS or did they send a copy of their copy?  
In our current statement we suggest they should have a copy but can't find it not that they sent it to IALS.

Did we agree it's OK for us to share Michael Elliott's name? Or is this covered by data protection?

Many thanks,  
Charlotte

---

**From:** Wilson,Clive  
**Sent:** 20 June 2019 15:01









