

**From:** [Directorate](#)  
**To:** [O'Connor,D](#); [Wilson,Clive](#)  
**Subject:** RE: FW: A plea for LSE to elaborate  
**Date:** 17 July 2019 15:13:31

---

Dear both,  
Thank you very much for letting me know.

Best regards,  
Kinga

---

**From:** O'Connor,D  
**Sent:** 17 July 2019 13:48  
**To:** Wilson,Clive <CLIVE.Wilson@lse.ac.uk>; Directorate <Directorate@lse.ac.uk>  
**Subject:** Re: FW: A plea for LSE to elaborate

I agree Clive.

We have told the University of London about this. It is for them to clarify.

Danny

---

**From:** Wilson,Clive  
**Sent:** 17 July 2019 13:37:41  
**To:** Directorate  
**Cc:** O'Connor,D  
**Subject:** RE: FW: A plea for LSE to elaborate

Dear Kinga

I've already told this person that they need to speak to the University of London about this. I don't propose to reply – unless Danny thinks otherwise.

Clive

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 17 July 2019 13:28  
**To:** Wilson,Clive  
**Cc:** Directorate  
**Subject:** Re: FW: A plea for LSE to elaborate

Dear Mr. Wilson:

You might be pleased to know that the Taiwanese press has quiet down at least for now on the thesis issue, after I conveyed the necessary parts of the contents in your last email. Never did I "quote" anything by the LSE president. All I did has been clearly explained in my previous to you. Pleased my conveyance worked.

The next is the diploma replacement issue: why would it carry a different signature than the it should have carried, that of the 2012–2018 vice chancellor [Sir Adrian Smith](#) and not

that of the 1981–1985 chancellor [Sir Randolph Quirk](#) since the original degree was awarded in 1984? A simple, present and clear statement like it has been the tradition for the replaced degree to carry a current vice chancellor's signature and it will NOT carry the word "replaced" or "replacement." Just a thought. On the degree issue, Should LSE could also be as clear as it has been on the thesis issue this time, both of the controversies might well be brought to a happy ending, and this, will be my best wish.

Thank you very much.



On Tue, Jul 16, 2019 at 7:19 AM Wilson, Clive <[CLIVE.Wilson@lse.ac.uk](mailto:CLIVE.Wilson@lse.ac.uk)> wrote:



as we have made clear in responses to several people, if you wish to quote anything from my email it should be attributed to "an LSE spokesperson". This is standard practice for media requests in the United Kingdom.

I very specifically want to make it clear that you may **not** quote anything as being from our Director, Dame Minouche Shafik

Clive Wilson

Enquiry Services Manager (Academic Services)

London School of Economics

Tel.: 020 7955 7475

10 Portugal Street

Fax.: 020 7955 7454

London WC2A 2HD

Email: [Datalibrary@lse.ac.uk](mailto:Datalibrary@lse.ac.uk)

[clive.wilson@lse.ac.uk](mailto:clive.wilson@lse.ac.uk)

**From:** 

**Sent:** 16 July 2019 13:44

**To:** Wilson, Clive

**Cc:** Directorate

**Subject:** Re: FW: A plea for LSE to elaborate

Dear Manager Wilson:

Thank you so very much for this latest email. Please convey to Director Minouche my highest respect and gratitude both for her personally and for her swift response to my email. Of course I am also highly appreciative to your kind and generous assistance and help in the past few weeks.

Would you agree that I help pass the contents of this email of yours to all that are concerned over this essential issue so much they would love to know as well the very kind answers from Director Minouche?

Thank you very much again.

On Tue, Jul 16, 2019 at 3:25 AM Wilson, Clive <[CLIVE.Wilson@lse.ac.uk](mailto:CLIVE.Wilson@lse.ac.uk)> wrote:

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your email which has been passed to me.

As has been highlighted in other correspondence, we have checked our records and both the London School of Economics and Political Science and the University of London confirm that Tsai Ing-Wen was correctly awarded a PhD in Law 1984.

President Tsai Ing-wen recently provided LSE Library with a facsimile copy of the thesis, 'Unfair trade practices and safeguard actions'. This is currently in processing and will soon be available to view in our special collections reading room.

Tsai Ing-wen is the sole author of the thesis listed on the catalogue. The second name – which was of her PhD supervisor - was briefly added to the catalogue in error and has now been removed. We can confirm he was **not** a co-author of the thesis.

As previously mentioned, questions regarding a reproduction of the PhD certificate itself should be directed to the University of London.

Kind regards,

Clive

Clive Wilson

Enquiry Services Manager (Academic Services)

London School of Economics

Tel.: 020 7955 7475

10 Portugal Street

Fax.: 020 7955 7454

London WC2A 2HD

Email: [Datalibrary@lse.ac.uk](mailto:Datalibrary@lse.ac.uk)

[clive.wilson@lse.ac.uk](mailto:clive.wilson@lse.ac.uk)

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Sent:** 15 July 2019 18:49

**To:** Directorate <[Directorate@lse.ac.uk](mailto:Directorate@lse.ac.uk)>

**Subject:** A plea for LSE to elaborate

Dear Director Minouche:

Facing the gigantic pressure from the Taiwanese electorate, Dr. Tsai Ing-wen at last had to yield and provided as late as this year a copy of her 1984 PhD. thesis to the LSE Library to keep in records. The record of this replacement copy's co-authorship partially explains my earlier questioning of her English writing competence—wasn't high enough to

afford a PhD thesis, judged from an English speech transcript she provided to the Center for Strategic and International Studies in 2016.

With the advent of the attached page,

I hereby write to make a plea for LSE to elaborate on the following issues:

1. Is it allowed or not allowed for two LSE PhD students to co-author a PhD thesis?
2. If the answer is negative, then the rest of the questions can be dropped. If, however, the answer is positive, will each of the two students be awarded a doctorate degree?
3. If the answer is negative, then the rest of the questions can be dropped. If, however, the answer is positive, then why wouldn't Mr. Elliott be called Dr. Elliott all his life before he passed away in 2016 while Ms. Tsai has been enjoying the bright tile of doctor?
4. Does the fact that Mr. Elliott was never called Dr. Elliott imply that he was never awarded a PhD? If Mr. Elliott was never awarded a PhD with the co-authored thesis, why was Ms. Tsai and why was her degree claimed to be "awarded correctly"?
5. On July 10 Dr. Tsai showed to the public her replacement degree certificate which carries not only a "different" wording but also "different" signature, while the Head of the Diploma Production Office states that "Replacement certificates..... will of course still be identical to the original document— same wording, same signature." Does the black-and-white discrepancy imply that Dr. Tsai's replacement certificate might be "fake"?
6. Why would a worldwide famous education institute like TSE allow a PhD thesis to be missing for 35 years without requesting the author to re-submit a copy and have to wait for the Taiwanese electorate uproar over the issue to demand an answer?
7. Why would Dr. Shih Fang-long, the Co-director of TSE's Taiwan Research Program, continue to blame the repeated calls for an answer by the Taiwanese electorate, including many highly esteemed professors, and brazenly refused to provide a once-and-for-all answer to the thesis mystery to end everyone's agony? What is to hide?
8. Would TSE look into the wording and signature discrepancies described in issue 5 and what would TSE do should the publicly shown PhD certificate prove to be "fake"?

Thank you very much for the patience to read this long email; your answer to any of these eight issues would be highly appreciative to the electorate of the 2020 Taiwanese presidential election. Since Ms. Tsai has been formally nominated a candidate in the election, the Taiwanese electorate's right to know is well justified. Any attempt to lead this issue into a personal privacy should be bluntly rebutted.

Sincerely yours,

||

