From: Shih,F
Sent: 12 June 2019 15:16
To: Wilson,Clive <CLIVE.Wilson@lse.ac.uk>; Kelloway,C <C.Kelloway@lse.ac.uk>
Cc: O'Connor,D <D.O'Connor@lse.ac.uk>; Metcalfe,F <F.Metcalfe@lse.ac.uk>
Subject: For your modification re my draft

Dear Clive and Charlotte,

Thank you very much for all your efforts in preparing this LSE press release.

This is very important for alumna President Tsai Ing-wen and the school's reputation as they have been under the irrational attack by anti-Tsai camp during the nomination election in her own political party – DDP.

To be brief, the anti-Tsai's camp includes:

- Taiwanese nationalists (who is against Tsai's policy beyond Chinese and Taiwanese nationalisms toward democracy);
- Taiwanese Independence fundamentalists (who is against Tsai's policy in maintaining the status quo with China);
- (3) Church members (who is against Tsai's government which has recently passed same-sex marriage law)

It is hoped you and the school could understand the current fragile situation and support our alumna and her lead of Taiwan's democracy to the next step as well as to redress the damages to President Tsai and the LSE done by anti-Tsai camp.

The draft letter is fine but is it possible to cut it shorter and add a response to the question of her supervisor and viva examiners?

If this sentence -- "Unfortunately, the LSE Library has never held a copy of Tsai Ing-wen's thesis"— has appeared again, the anti-Tsai camp will continue to attack Tsai, saying she has never submitted her thesis, no matter how many reasons in explaining this. As such, the rest of the sentences regarding her thesis is better not to repeat again (already said in Ruth Orson's 2 emails which have invited the conspiracy to accuse her deceiving of her doctoral degree).

As such, it is hoped you will agree this modified version and please see below:

If not, we also understand the school's concern. Many thanks!

An LSE spokesperson said:

"University of London and LSE records confirm Tsai Ing-wen was awarded a PhD in Law in 1984. The LSE has all information, such as the names of Tsai's supervisor and viva examiners. But due to the private data protection, the LSE is unable to release this information without the consent of the individuals. Nevertheless, it is not an unusual case as the supervisor name wasn't always included in the thesis in those days."

All the very best, Fang-long