

From: [O'Connor, D](#)
To: [Cerny, MW](#); [Wilson, Clive](#)
Cc: [Metcalfe, F](#); [Kelloway, C](#)
Subject: RE: Response on PhD Thesis by Ing-Wen Tsai
Date: 27 June 2019 13:21:19
Attachments: [image002.png](#)

Thanks Marcus.

Yes, I was going to take that out, as I was unsure.

Danny

From: Cerny, MW
Sent: 27 June 2019 13:20
To: O'Connor, D <D.O'Connor@lse.ac.uk>; Wilson, Clive <CLIVE.Wilson@lse.ac.uk>
Cc: Metcalfe, F <F.Metcalfe@lse.ac.uk>; Kelloway, C <C.Kelloway@lse.ac.uk>
Subject: RE: Response on PhD Thesis by Ing-Wen Tsai

If I recall, the thesis was actually sent to IALS in 2011. This makes sense to me because it will have been around the time that the Research Degrees Office shut at UoL and remaining responsibilities were devolved to individual institutions (though LSE had already assumed these). I imagine they will have been clearing up a number of things outstanding around that time.

I would keep the date out of it and just say that Senate House Library confirmed they sent it to IALS. If anybody wants to ask them for details as to what happened and when, then that is for UoL to respond to (or not) as they see fit.

Thanks,
Marcus

Marcus Cerny
Deputy Director, PhD Academy
London School of Economics and Political Science
Houghton Street
London WC2A 2AE

Please consider the environment and do not print this email unless absolutely necessary.

Please access the attached hyperlink for an important electronic communications disclaimer: <http://lse.ac.uk/emailDisclaimer>

From: O'Connor, D
Sent: 27 June 2019 13:11
To: Wilson, Clive
Cc: Cerny, MW; Metcalfe, F; Kelloway, C
Subject: Response on PhD Thesis by Ing-Wen Tsai

Hi Clive,

I'm not dead-set on responding to [REDACTED] but, if I were to respond, it might be along the lines below.

I realise he's clinging on to the initial response from you and Ruth, which suggested that LSE and UoL 'never received' a copy. I can indicate that this was an error but do say if you feel this misrepresents you.

(I'm not addressing all the other conspiracy bumf).

Danny

-CC- ing Marcus and colleagues for any other comments

Draft response

Dear [REDACTED],

The response I gave still stands. All our records indicate the PhD was correctly awarded and in line with relevant procedures.

Unfortunately the thesis (which was only available as a hard copy in 1984) cannot be found by the University of London or the IALS but records do indicate it was received and processed at the time.

You state that Clive Wilson and his colleague said the 'PhD thesis has never been received in 1984 by Senate House Library and IALS library' in their initial response. This information does not appear to be correct, apologies for the confusion.

