

**From:** [O'Connor,D](#)  
**To:** [Metcalf,F](#); [Kelloway,C](#)  
**Subject:** Re: President Tsai - University of London  
**Date:** 17 July 2019 16:05:12  
**Attachments:** [image008.png](#)  
[image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)  
[image013.png](#)  
[image014.png](#)  
[image015.png](#)  
[image018.png](#)  
[image019.png](#)  
[image021.png](#)

---

Just to add, the intention is they will give this to the Taiwan rep's office to distribute rather than sending out themselves...but will confirm it's from them if asked.

(My view is it's ok to give to Taiwan rep's office for proactive purposes but UoL should be willing to send it reactively when necessary as well)

---

**From:** O'Connor,D  
**Sent:** 17 July 2019 15:51:48  
**To:** Metcalf,F; Kelloway,C  
**Subject:** Fw: President Tsai - University of London

Hi both,

The UoL have drafted a statement about the Tsai certificate. It confirms it's real and where the discrepancy comes from (if it's over 20 years old it uses the current VC signature though apparently one of their staffers said 'yes, it would have the original VC signature').

They've asked for our feedback I've made a couple of suggested changes in red below.

In terms of using this in our correspondence, I would still say "This is a matter for the University of London. They have provided us with the following statement: XXXX "

In most cases I would only use paragraphs 1&2 (will suggest the same to them) and leave out the part about 'a member of staff said X'.

Do let me know if you have any feedback, otherwise I'll get back to Binda at UoL.

Danny

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 17 July 2019 15:42  
**To:** O'Connor,D  
**Subject:** RE: President Tsai - University of London





