

From: O'Connor,D
To: [Wilson.Clive](#); [Cerny.MW](#); [Thomson.MT](#)
Cc: [Kelloway,C](#); [Metcalf,F](#)
Subject: Re: Tsai thesis press enquiry
Date: 17 July 2019 10:50:54

So, the line

"The LSE Library would consider displaying copies of a requested thesis for if the original can no longer be sourced by the University of London or LSE Library."

is fair enough?

re: the second line, should this be updated to?:

"The university does not have the original manuscript, hence why it is listed as a fascimilie provided by Dr Tsai. However the content is consistent with draft chapters contained as part of Dr Tsai's student record.

or is this giving up too much personal information?

Danny

From: Wilson,Clive
Sent: 17 July 2019 10:49:56
To: O'Connor,D; Cerny,MW; Thomson,MT
Cc: Kelloway,C; Metcalfe,F
Subject: RE: Tsai thesis press enquiry

- a) sadly not. But we can evidence that we would do if there was sufficient interest.
- b) I had to delete the file when I passed it over to Marcus so don't have them anymore. sorry.

Clive

From: O'Connor,D
Sent: 17 July 2019 10:46
To: Wilson,Clive; Cerny,MW; Thomson,MT
Cc: Kelloway,C; Metcalfe,F
Subject: Re: Tsai thesis press enquiry

Thanks Clive.

- a) Won't go into detail but does this mean we can say *we have previously accepted* copies of thesis which were lost?
- b) We could mention this material but I suspect we may invite further questions which we can't answer for data protection reasons (please share the first two chapters, what does

